

COMBINATORIAL INTERPRETATION OF KAZHDAN–LUSZTIG BASIS ELEMENTS INDEXED BY 45312-AVOIDING PERMUTATIONS IN \mathfrak{S}_6

ASHTON DATKO AND MARK SKANDERA

ABSTRACT. Deodhar [*Geom. Dedicata* **36**, no. 1 (1990)] introduced the *defect* statistic on subexpressions of reduced expressions in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n to construct an algorithmic description of the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis of the Hecke algebra $H_n(q)$. This led Billey–Warrington [*J. Algebraic Combin.* **13**, no. 2 (2001)] and the second author [*J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008)] to state very explicit combinatorial descriptions of the basis elements indexed by permutations avoiding certain patterns. We extend the above work to Kazhdan–Lusztig basis elements indexed by $w \in \mathfrak{S}_5, \mathfrak{S}_6$ that avoid the pattern 45312.

1. INTRODUCTION

Define the *symmetric group algebra* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ and the (*type A Iwahori-*) *Hecke algebra* $H_n(q)$ to be the algebras with multiplicative identity elements e and T_e , respectively, generated over \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ by elements s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} and $T_{s_1}, \dots, T_{s_{n-1}}$, subject to the relations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= e & T_{s_i}^2 &= (q-1)T_{s_i} + qT_e & \text{for } i &= 1, \dots, n-1, \\ s_i s_j s_i &= s_j s_i s_j & T_{s_i} T_{s_j} T_{s_i} &= T_{s_j} T_{s_i} T_{s_j} & \text{for } |i-j| &= 1, \\ s_i s_j &= s_j s_i & T_{s_i} T_{s_j} &= T_{s_j} T_{s_i} & \text{for } |i-j| &\geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to the natural basis $\{w \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ is the natural basis $\{T_w \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$ of $H_n(q)$, where we define $T_w = T_{s_{i_1}} \cdots T_{s_{i_\ell}}$ whenever $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is a reduced (short as possible) expression for w in \mathfrak{S}_n . We call ℓ the *length* of w and write $\ell = \ell(w)$. Specializing at $q^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ we have $T_w \mapsto w$ and $H_n(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$.

To each element $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we associate a *one-line notation* by viewing the generator s_i as a map on words that swaps the letters in positions i and $i+1$, and by defining $w_1 \cdots w_n = s_{i_1}(s_{i_2}(\cdots s_{i_\ell}(12 \cdots n) \cdots))$. For each subinterval $[a, b]$ of $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, we let $s_{[a,b]}$ denote the element of \mathfrak{S}_n having one-line notation $1 \cdots (a-1)b \cdots a(b+1) \cdots n$, and call such an element a *reversal*. The reversal $s_{[n]}$ is usually denoted w_0 . Given a word $a = a_1 \cdots a_k$ in \mathfrak{S}_k , and a word $b = b_1 \cdots b_k$ having k distinct letters, we say that b *matches the pattern* a if the letters of b appear in the same relative order as those of a ; that is, if we have $a_i < a_j$ if and only if $b_i < b_j$ for all $i, j \in [k]$. Given $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we say that w *avoids the pattern* a if no subword $w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_k}$ of w matches the pattern a .

A second basis $\{\tilde{C}_w(q) \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$ of $H_n(q)$ due to Kazhdan and Lusztig [7] expands in the natural basis as

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{C}_w(q) = \sum_{v \leq w} P_{v,w}(q) T_v,$$

with the multiplicity $m(x, y)$. For example, in \mathcal{G}_4 we have the nonisomorphic graphs

$$(2.2) \quad G_{[1,3]} \circ G_{[2,4]} \circ G_{[1,3]} = \begin{array}{c} 4 \text{---} 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 3 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \text{---} 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \text{---} 1 \end{array}, \quad G_{[1,3]} \bullet G_{[2,4]} \bullet G_{[1,3]} = \begin{array}{c} 4 \text{---} 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 3 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \text{---} 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \text{---} 1 \end{array},$$

in which two pairs of edges are replaced by two single edges marked with multiplicity 2. Define a *star network* to be the concatenation of finitely many simple star networks, using any combination of the \circ and \bullet operations.

The graphical representation of $H_n(q)$ -elements depends upon families of paths in star networks, and upon a function on these paths called the *defect statistic*. Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ be a sequence of source-to-sink paths in a star network G . We call π a *path family* if there exists a permutation $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that π_i is a path from source i to sink w_i . In this case, we say more specifically that π has *type* w . We say that the path family *covers* G if it contains every edge with exactly the multiplicity of that edge. For example, the stars in the star network $G_{[1,2]} \circ G_{[2,4]} \circ G_{[1,2]}$ imply that there are $2 \cdot 6 \cdot 2 = 24$ path families that cover it. Four of these are

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_4 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \pi_3 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \pi_2 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \pi_1 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \rho_4 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \rho_3 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \rho_2 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \rho_1 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \tau_4 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \tau_3 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \tau_2 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \tau_1 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \omega_4 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \omega_3 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \omega_2 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \omega_1 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array},$$

type(π) = 1234 type(ρ) = 1234 type(τ) = 1243 type(ω) = 3142

Suppose that path family π covers a star network $G = G_{J_1} \circ \cdots \circ G_{J_m}$. If two paths π_i, π_j intersect at the central vertex of G_{J_p} , call the triple (π_i, π_j, p) *defective* or *a defect* if the paths have previously crossed an odd number of times (i.e., in $G_{J_1}, \dots, G_{J_{p-1}}$). Let $\text{dfct}(\pi)$ denote the number of defects of π ,

$$(2.4) \quad \text{dfct}(\pi) = \#\{(\pi_i, \pi_j, p) \mid (\pi_i, \pi_j, p) \text{ defective}\}.$$

For example, in (2.3) we have $\text{dfct}(\rho) = \text{dfct}(\tau) = 1$, since ρ_1, ρ_2 cross and meet again later, as do τ_1, τ_2 , and we have $\text{dfct}(\pi) = \text{dfct}(\omega) = 0$.

To a planar network G we associate an $H_n(q)$ element

$$(2.5) \quad \beta_q(G) = \sum_{\pi} q^{\text{dfct}(\pi)} T_{\text{type}(\pi)},$$

where the sum is over all path families that cover G , and we say that G *graphically represents* $\beta_q(G)$, i.e., G gives an explicit expansion of $\beta_q(G)$ in the natural basis of $H_n(q)$. Deodhar [4] showed that for each expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}$, the *wiring diagram* $G_{[i_1, i_1+1]} \circ \cdots \circ G_{[i_m, i_m+1]}$ satisfies $\beta_q(G_{[i_1, i_1+1]} \circ \cdots \circ G_{[i_m, i_m+1]}) = \tilde{C}_{s_{i_1}}(q) \cdots \tilde{C}_{s_{i_m}}(q)$. Billey–Warrington [1, Thm. 1] showed that for some $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, each reduced expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ for w satisfies $\tilde{C}_{s_{i_1}}(q) \cdots \tilde{C}_{s_{i_\ell}}(q) = \tilde{C}_w(q)$. This implies the following graphical representation result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ avoid the patterns 321, 56781234, 56718234, 46781235, 46718235, and let G be the wiring diagram for any reduced expression for w . Then G graphically represents $\tilde{C}_w(q)$.*

We also have the following generalization of Deodhar’s result [3, Cor. 5.3].

Theorem 3.2. *For all $w \in \mathfrak{S}_5 \setminus \{45312\}$, there is a star network G satisfying $\beta_q(G) = \tilde{C}_w(q)$.*

Proof. (Idea) By Theorems 2.1 and 2.3, we have a network G for all permutation avoiding the patterns listed in those theorems. Partitioning the remaining elements of \mathfrak{S}_5 into equivalence classes of the form $w \sim w^{-1} \sim w_0 w w_0 \sim w_0 w^{-1} w_0$, we find a zig-zag network $G(w)$ for one representative of each class except for the singleton class $\{45312\}$. Lemma 3.1 gives zig-zag networks for the other elements of each class. \square

We prove that in \mathfrak{S}_6 , an analog of Theorem 3.2 in Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.3. *For all $w \in \mathfrak{S}_6$ avoiding the pattern 45312, there is a star network G satisfying $\beta_q(G) = \tilde{C}_w(q)$.*

Proof. By Theorems 2.1 and 2.3, we have a network G for all permutations avoiding the patterns listed in those theorems. Now from the remaining elements of \mathfrak{S}_6 , restrict attention to those avoiding the pattern 45312 and partition these into equivalence classes of the form $w \sim w^{-1} \sim w_0 w w_0 \sim w_0 w^{-1} w_0$. We consider three (?) cases of such equivalence classes. If the equivalence class contains an element w which satisfies X , then apply Lemma ???. If the equivalence class contains an element w which satisfies Y , then apply Lemma ??. Finally, if the equivalence class contains an element w which satisfies Z , then apply Lemma ??. \square

Problem 3.4. *Given $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $\tilde{C}_w(q)$ can be graphically represented by a star network, explain algorithmically how to produce one such network.*

Is it true that

★ Continue here and include some material from the following (temporary) section.

4. PRODUCTS OF KAZHDAN–LUSZTIG BASIS ELEMENTS

Fix $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and an adjacent transposition s . It is known that if $sw > w$ in the Bruhat order then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{C}_s(q)\tilde{C}_w(q) = \tilde{C}_{sw}(q) + \sum_{\substack{v < w \\ sv < v}} \mu(v, w)\tilde{C}_v(q);$$

if $ws > w$ then we have

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{C}_w(q)\tilde{C}_s(q) = \tilde{C}_{ws}(q) + \sum_{\substack{v < w \\ vs < v}} \mu(v, w)\tilde{C}_v(q).$$

(See, e.g., [5, Appendix].)

(★ Include other parts of the formula: $\tilde{C}_s(q)\tilde{C}_w(q) = (1 + q)\tilde{C}_w(q)$ if $sw < w$, etc.)

Lemma 4.1. *Fix $w \in \mathfrak{S}_6$ avoiding the patterns 3412 and 4231 and an adjacent transposition s . If we have $sw > w$ and no permutation $v < w$ satisfies $sv < v$ and $\ell(v) = \ell(w) - 1$, then $\tilde{C}_{sw}(q) = \tilde{C}_s(q)\tilde{C}_w(q)$. Equivalently, if we have $ws > w$ and no permutation $v < w$ satisfies $vs < v$ and $\ell(v) = \ell(w) - 1$, then $\tilde{C}_{ws}(q) = \tilde{C}_w(q)\tilde{C}_s(q)$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mu(v, w)$ is the coefficient of $q^{(\ell(w) - \ell(v) - 1)/2}$ in $P_{v,w}(q)$. Since w avoids the patterns 3412 and 4231, we have that $P_{v,w}(q) = 1$ for all $v \leq w$. Thus this coefficient can be nonzero only when $(\ell(w) - \ell(v) - 1)/2 = 0$, i.e., when $\ell(v) = \ell(w) - 1$. It follows that if no such permutation v satisfies $sv < v$, then (4.1) reduces to $\tilde{C}_s(q)\tilde{C}_w(q) = \tilde{C}_{sw}(q)$. The second claimed equality is proved similarly. \square

Corollary 4.2. *We have $\tilde{C}_{52431}(q) = \tilde{C}_{s_{[1,2]}}(q)\tilde{C}_{s_{[2,5]}}(q)\tilde{C}_{s_{[1,2]}}(q)$.*

Proof. Observe that we have

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{C}_{s_{[2,5]}}(q)\tilde{C}_{s_{[1,2]}}(q) = \tilde{C}_{25431}(q).$$

and that 25431 avoids the patterns 3412 and 4231. Applying Lemma 4.1, write

$$w = 25431 < s_1w = 52431,$$

and look for v with $\ell(v) = \ell(25431) - 1$ and $s_1v < v < 25431$. That is, v must satisfy

- (i) $v_1 > v_2$ (since we want $s_1v < v$),
- (ii) v is obtainable from $w = 25431$ by swapping letters $w_i > w_j$ in positions $i < j$ (since we want $v < w$) such that no letters w_{i+1}, \dots, w_{j-1} have values between w_i and w_j (since we want $\ell(v) = \ell(w) - 1$).

But there is no such permutation v : the only swap of a larger letter with a later smaller letter which gives us $v_1 > v_2$ is the swap of 5 with 1. But this decreases inversions by more than 1 because of the 4 and 3 located between the 5 and 1. Thus by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\tilde{C}_{52431}(q) = \tilde{C}_{s_1}(q)\tilde{C}_{25431}(q),$$

and by (4.3) we have the desired factorization. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. C. BILLEY AND G. WARRINGTON. Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for 321-hexagon-avoiding permutations. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **13**, 2 (2001) pp. 111–136.
- [2] S. CLEARMAN, M. HYATT, B. SHELTON, AND M. SKANDERA. Evaluations of Hecke algebra traces at Kazhdan-Lusztig basis elements. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **23**, 2 (2016). Paper 2.7, 56 pages.
- [3] A. CLEARWATER AND M. SKANDERA. Total nonnegativity and Hecke algebra trace evaluations. *Ann. Combin.*, **25** (2021) pp. 757–787.
- [4] V. DEODHAR. A combinatorial setting for questions in Kazhdan-Lusztig theory. *Geom. Dedicata*, **36**, 1 (1990) pp. 95–119.
- [5] M. HAIMAN. Hecke algebra characters and immanant conjectures. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **6**, 3 (1993) pp. 569–595.
- [6] R. KALISZEWSKI, J. LAMBRIGHT, AND M. SKANDERA. Bases of the quantum matrix bialgebra and induced sign characters of the Hecke algebra. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **49**, 4 (2019) pp. 475–505.
- [7] D. KAZHDAN AND G. LUSZTIG. Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras. *Invent. Math.*, **53** (1979) pp. 165–184.
- [8] V. LAKSHMIBAI AND B. SANDHYA. Criterion for smoothness of Schubert varieties in $SL(n)/B$. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math Sci.)*, **100**, 1 (1990) pp. 45–52.
- [9] M. SKANDERA. On the dual canonical and Kazhdan-Lusztig bases and 3412, 4231-avoiding permutations. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212** (2008).